

1 that can have legal ramifications. I think
2 I know what you're getting at, could you
3 please rephrase it.

4 MR. KAFRISSEN: Okay.

5 BY MR. KAFRISSEN:

6 Q. When you perform a lasik procedure and it's on a
7 patient that is referred to you by an optometrist, does
8 the optometrist receive any portion of the fee charged
9 to the patient for the lasik procedure?

10 A. Sometimes.

11 MS. NEWMAN: Let me also object and
12 say can you tailor it to this case, this
13 patient, and this optometrist?

14 MR. KAFRISSEN: Sure.

15 BY MR. KAFRISSEN:

16 Q. When Dr. Scenerino referred Cheryl Fiorelli to
17 your office to be evaluated in what ultimately ended up
18 as a lasik procedure, did Dr. Scenerino receive any
19 compensation as a result of this procedure before?

20 MS. NEWMAN: I want to object and
21 upon further thought even with
22 clarification, I'm going to instruct her
23 not to answer. I don't know what the
24 relevance is to the lawsuit. The question

1 10, 1997.

2 Q. Had Cheryl been seen at your office in the past?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. How did Cheryl come to be seen by you on February
5 10, 1997?

6 A. One of my partners wanted her to get my opinion.

7 Q. Let me ask you this, I didn't think I covered, do
8 you have within your practice any subspecialty within
9 your practice of ophthalmology?

10 MS. NEWMAN: Can I just clarify?

11 She, personally or the people in the
12 office.

13 MR. KAFRISSEN: She, personally.

14 THE WITNESS: Yes.

15 BY MR. KAFRISSEN:

16 Q. What is your subspecialty?

17 A. Refractive and cataract surgery.

18 Q. Is there any kind of certification for that?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Is there anyone in your office who has a
21 subspecialty in fitting contact lenses?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Is there any subspecialty that you're aware of
24 that involves the fitting of contact lenses?

1 is was the surgery performed correctly or
2 not, and I'm not -- I don't think I
3 interrupted you much along those lines,
4 background, training, general questions
5 about it. And so on this point, I'm going
6 to instruct her not to answer especially
7 because Dr. Scenerino isn't even a
8 defendant in the lawsuit.

9 MR. KAFRISSEN: Right.

10 BY MR. KAFRISSEN:

11 Q. Well, you had mentioned, I guess, the doctor had
12 mentioned that sometimes that arrangement occurs,
13 why --

14 MS. NEWMAN: I probably should have
15 objected there and stopped.

16 MR. KAFRISSEN: Okay.

17 MS. NEWMAN: Off the record.

18 (A discussion was held off the
19 record.)

20 BY MR. KAFRISSEN:

21 Q. Let me ask you this then. When did you first see
22 Cheryl Fiorelli and tell me what you are referring to
23 as we go?

24 A. I'm referring to the office chart and February

1 A. Any subspecialty in ophthalmology ?

2 Q. Right, within ophthalmology?

3 A. Yeah.

4 Q. In 1997, were you aware of any ophthalmologist
5 that specialized in the fitting of contact lenses in
6 the Philadelphia area?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Who?

9 A. Voraiva Fiol-Siva.

10 Q. She's at Wills Eye?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Was she at Wills Eye in 1997?

13 A. I believe so.

14 Q. Now, I apologize, I forget who the name of the
15 doctor that you said referred Cheryl to you for
16 evaluation, who was that again?

17 A. Dr. Ira Wallace.

18 Q. Is that your husband?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Dr. Ira Wallace does he have any subspecialty
21 within the Nevyas Eye Associates practice?

22 A. Ophthalmic plastic and reconstructive surgery.

23 MS. POST: Ophthalmic plastic and
24 reconstructive surgery.