102

104

105

- 1 law firm, and if there was, it would seem to me that
- those documents would be subject to attorney-client 2
- 3 privilege anyway.
- 4 DR. FRIEDMAN: They may be subject to 5 that, but I'm only asking what the name of the law
- 6 firm was. 7
- MR. LAPAT: I don't see how that in any 8 way bears on the allegations in the Complaint.
- 9 MS. NEWMAN: Well, why don't we try
- getting a yes or no question. Was there a law firm 10 11 involved?
- 12 THE WITNESS: No.
- 13 MS. NEWMAN: Okay.
- 14 MR. LAPAT: Would this be an
- 15 appropriate time to take a quick break? DR. FRIEDMAN: No, it's not, unless you 16
- 17 want to take a very short break.
- 18 MR. LAPAT: I was just hoping to run to
- 19 the men's room. 20 DR. FRIEDMAN: Okay. Then it's an
- 21 appropriate time.
- 22 (A break was taken from 1:15 p.m. to
- 23 1:22 p.m.) 24 BY DR. FRIEDMAN:

- 1 A. No.
- Q. I'm going to show you what was given to me
- before by counsel for Dr. Herbert Nevyas, and this 4 is page 1102, and is this the protocol that you're
- 5 talking about?
- 6 A. (Examines document.) Yes. 7 MS. NEWMAN: At least one page of the
- 8 protocol.
- 9 Q. Well, does that page reflect the title of the 10 protocol?
- 11 A. It looks like it, yes.
- 12 MS. NEWMAN: Can we have that attached 13 as an exhibit so we know later what page you're
- 14 talking about? 15 DR. FRIEDMAN: It's page 1102.
- 16 MS. NEWMAN: Okay. 17 Q. Does that protocol have what are called
- 18 inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria? 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. I'm going to show you . . .
- 21 MS. NEWMAN: Hold on one second.
- 22 DR. FRIEDMAN: You can take time to
- 23 talk with your counsel, if you wish.) 24 (A discussion took place off the record
- 103
 - 1 between the witness and Ms. Newman.)
 - 2 MS. NEWMAN: I'm sorry. Please ask
 - 3 your question. 4 Q. I'm going to show you what is marked page 1118
 - Nevyas, and ask you is this the inclusion criteria

of what was given to me by counsel for Dr. Herbert

- 7 and the beginning of the exclusion criteria?
- 8 A. (Examines document.) Yes.
- 9 Q. Is this page, which is 1119, the rest of the
- 10 exclusion criteria? 11 A. (Examines document.) Yes.
- 12 Q. Now, under inclusion criteria number 6 it 13 says, "Best corrected visual acuity of 20/40 or
- 14 better in both eyes"; is that correct?
- A. Yes. 15
- 16 Q. Was Mr. Morgan's visual acuity 20/40 or better
- 17 in both eyes? 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And where in the record does it say his visual
- 20 acuity was 20/40 or better in both eyes?
- 21 A. 3/24/97, subjective refraction to 20/40 22 minus.
- 23 Q. Is 20/40 minus the same as 20/40?
- A. It is considered 20/40.

Q. Doctor, for the operation of the LASIK device

- A. What was the question? DR. FRIEDMAN: Read the question.

in April 1998 was there a protocol that you were

- (The pending question was read by the court reporter.)
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And what was that protocol?

following?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- A. It was a protocol with the FDA in which data 10
- 11 was reported.
- 12 Q. Did the protocol specify who was to have LASIK 13 surgery performed?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15
- Q. And did the protocol specify who was not to 16 have LASIK surgery performed?
- 17 18 Q. Did you use that protocol when you operated on
- 19 Mr. Morgan?
- 20 A. Yes. 21
- Q. Did you adhere to that protocol when you 22 operated on Mr. Morgan?
- 23 Yes.
- 24 Do you know the name of that protocol?

(Pages 102 to 105)